FENWICK & WEST LLY ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	1	DARRYL M. WOO (CSB NO. 100513)	
	2	dwoo@fenwick.com CHARLENE M. MORROW (CSB NO. 136411)	
	3	cmorrow@fenwick.com PATRICK E. PREMO (CSB NO. 184915)	
	4	ppremo@fenwick.com BRYAN A. KOHM (CSB NO. 233276)	
	5	bkohm@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP	
	6	555 California Street, 12th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	
	7	Telephone: (415) 875-2300 Facsimile: (415) 281-1350	
	8	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants	
	9	AMBU A/S, AMBU INC., AND AMBU LTD.	
	10	UNITED STATES D	ISTRICT COURT
	11	SOUTHERN DISTRIC	Γ OF CALIFORNIA
	12		
	13	THE LARYNGEAL MASK COMPANY LTD.	Case No. 3:07-cv-01988 DMS (NLS)
	14	and LMA NORTH AMERICA, INC.,	Jury Trial Demanded
	15	Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF DARRYL M. WOO IN
	16	v.	SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER GRANTING
	17	AMBU A/S, AMBU INC., and AMBU LTD.,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR LEAVE TO TAKE FIVE DEPOSITIONS BEYOND THE
	18	Defendants,	Presumptive Limit of F.R.C.P. 30(a)(2)(A)(i)
	19		Date: September 15, 2009
	20		Time: 2:00 p.m. Courtroom: F, 1st Floor
	21		The Honorable Nita L. Stormes
	22	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

TABLE OF CONTENTS OF EXHIBITS Exhibit A: Expert Report of Creighton Hoffman Regarding Damages Exhibit B: Report of Ryan Sullivan FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW

Page

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I, Darryl M. Woo, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in California and am admitted to this district. I am a partner with the law firm of Fenwick & West, LLP, counsel for Defendants Ambu A/S, Ambu, Inc., and Ambu Ltd. (collectively, "Ambu"). I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein, and if called upon to do so, could testify competently thereto.
- 2. LMA submitted its Motion for Leave to Take Five Depositions Beyond the Presumptive Limit of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(a)(2)(A)(i) on June 2, 2009. Ambu submitted its opposition thereto on June 18, and LMA submitted its reply brief on June 25. At the time briefing closed as to LMA's motion, all of the accused devices remained at issue and LMA was seeking many tens of millions of dollars in damages as to that collective whole. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the expert report of LMA's damages expert, Creighton G. Hoffman. The report, which was served on June 19, 2009, when all of the accused devices remained at issue, concluded that LMA was entitled to damages of at least \$20 million dollars, excluding prejudgment interest. [Ex. A, p. 3.] The three product lines as to which summary judgment of non-infringement was granted accounted for nearly all of Ambu's sales of the devices accused of infringement in the litigation by LMA. Indeed, Exhibit G of Mr. Hoffman's report shows Ambu's total sales for the accused AuraFlex were less than \$150.000. [Ex. A, ex. G (Ambu's Laryngeal Mask Sales), see sales figures for "original devices" for AuraFlex from Q1 2007 through Q1 2009.]
- 3. Information pertaining to the alleged wrinkle and indentation manufacturing defects in Ambu's laryngeal mask products is relevant, if at all, only to the issue of patent damages. The wrinkle and indentation defects relate to a manufacturing irregularity that occurred after Ambu removed the reinforced tip—the alleged patented improvement—from its laryngeal masks.
- 4. Mr. Arvai is the Chief Financial Officer of Ambu A/S, not of Ambu, Inc., and though these Ambu entities collectively are counterclaimants, no damages are being sought for any harm to Ambu A/S for their unfair competition and false advertising counterclaims. [See Ambu's Damages Expert Report of Ryan Sullivan, Ph.D., a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.

	1	5. The estimated expense to Ambu of preparing for and defending the requested	
ALONDES ALLAW MOUNTAIN VIEW	2	depositions is approximately \$80,000. This includes the cost of flying Ambu's counsel from	
	3	California to Denmark and the attorneys' fees resulting from one day of preparation and one day of	
	4	defending the deposition for each witness.	
	5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the	
	6	State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed	
	7	this 17th day of August, 2009 in San Francisco, California.	
	8		
	9		
	10	·	
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		

28

FENWICK & WEST LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW MOUNTAIN VIEW

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF system per Local Rule 5.2 on August 17, 2009.

By: /s/ Darryl M. Woo

Darryl M. Woo

E-mail: dwoo@fenwick.com